Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview & Summary Information

Date Investment First Submitted: 2009-06-30
Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-07-13
Investment Auto Submission Date: 2012-02-29
Date of Last Investment Detail Update: 2012-02-29
Date of Last Exhibit 300A Update: 2012-08-13

Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-13

Agency: 023 - General Services Administration **Bureau:** 30 - General Activities

Investment Part Code: 01

Investment Category: 24 - E-Gov & LoB initiatives

1. Name of this Investment: Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE)

2. Unique Investment Identifier (UII): 023-999990230

Section B: Investment Detail

 Provide a brief summary of the investment, including a brief description of the related benefit to the mission delivery and management support areas, and the primary beneficiary(ies) of the investment. Include an explanation of any dependencies between this investment and other investments.

IAE is the largest and most complex of the initiatives promoted by the E-Gov Act of 2002 that calls for electronic procurement initiatives. The IAE mission is to standardize, integrate, and streamline the Federal procurement processes, through electronic means, for the acquisition workforce and business partners, while increasing transparency. The vision is to provide the environment where the power of Federal procurement standardards is realized. IAE strategic goals are to: A) Integrate Business Processes; B) Enhance Data Quality, Data Sharing, and Transparency; C) Provide Secure Technology Platforms; D) Maximize the Benefit; and E) Empower Efficient Acquisition. IAE optimizes resources to deliver services. Examples include capabilities for: - Vendors, grantees, and loan recipients to register to do business with the government (Central Contractor Registration and Dun and Bradstreet services) - Vendors to find government opportunities (FedBizOpps) - Vendors to report subawards (eSRS/FSRS) -Agencies to report contract actions (Federal Procurement Data System) - Contracting officials to check on past performance, if a party has been excluded from doing business with the Federal Government, and check vendor s certifications and representations (Past Performance Information Retrieval System, Excluded Parties List System, and Online Representations and Certifications Application) As a result of these efforts, IAE simplifies, unifies and streamlines the acquisition process for buyers as well as for vendors and sellers. This is done through the essential core of shared services which has freed up agencies to

focus on Agency-specific needs while leveraging these government-wide shared services. Currently, IAE's 2010 Strategy Plan efforts are focused on delivery of service centric, open architecture, aggregation of applications to provide more full-service and integrated capability for both the acquisition community and vendors. Accomplishments expected by the end of the BY include System for Award Management (SAM) 1st and 2nd Migrations develop awards, completions, and Go Live; Common Hosting Services (CHS) hosting for the SAM Migrations; and FSD helpdesk services for the SAM Migrations. IAE has a strong and vibrant governance structure. The Acquisition Committee for E-Government (ACE), a subcommittee of the Chief Acquisition Officer's Council (CAOC), conducts monthly reviews of the IAE program, sets priorities, and approves funding.

2. How does this investment close in part or in whole any identified performance gap in support of the mission delivery and management support areas? Include an assessment of the program impact if this investment isn't fully funded.

The Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) facilitates and supports cost-effective acquisition of goods and services by agencies. The IAE provides common acquisition functions and shared services that benefit all agencies, such as the maintenance of information about business-partner organizations (e.g., banking, certifications, business types, capabilities, performance). IAE provides benefits to the government and business-partner organizations by improving cross-agency coordination that helps to improve the government s buying power, while providing business partners maximum visibility and transparency into the process. IAE provides various services, tools and capabilities that are leveraged by the acquisition communities buyers and sellers to conduct business across the Federal Government space. The IAE has offered a portfolio of nine acquisition services which facilitates all phases of the Federal acquisition lifecycle for buyers, sellers, and the public bringing transparency and visibility to the process of Federal acquisition. These services evolved from the Adopt, Adapt, Acquire strategy. In FY 2008, due to the need to meet new challenges, a fourth strategy was added aggregate. The aggregation strategy focuses on both business services and data in the acquisition lifecycle. The functions performed by the current IAE applications will be migrated to a set of acquisition business services that will be implemented consistent with Service-Oriented Architecture concepts and technology, and will leverage open source software and open source software development methodologies. Aggregating and leveraging a set of common services such as reporting and database management will greatly simplify the Information Technology (IT) infrastructure. Managing a few databases, as opposed to the current nine, will reduce data redundancy, contribute toward establishing a more secure platform, improve data integrity and reduce the cost of ownership and operation. If not funded, each Federal Agency would have to create their own systems to mirror IAE systems. In addition to cost for new agency systems, this would lead to duplication of efforts, lack of standardization, and data quality issues.

3. Provide a list of this investment's accomplishments in the prior year (PY), including projects or useful components/project segments completed, new functionality added, or operational efficiency achieved.

In FY11, IAE: - Proceeded on its aggressive goal of a May 2012 launch of the System for Award Management (SAM) Phase 1 (CCR/FedReg, ORCA, and EPLS). Phase 1 requirements were finalized, development solicitation released, contractor selected, and meetings/outreach to prepare the user community conducted. - Initiated requirements

gathering for SAM Phase 2 (FBO, CFDA, eSRS, and FSRS). - Implemented the FFATA Subaward Reporting System (FSRS) allowing prime grantees to input awards to first tier subs-grantees and forward the information to USAspending for display to the Public. - Modified the Federal Awardee Performance & Integrity Information System (FAPIIS) requiring contracting officers to report terminations for default, terminations for cause, and defective pricing determinations. - Maintained operations and support of the nine IAE systems. This included version releases, data reloads, and extended helpdesk services for the Federal Subaward Reporting System (FSRS).

4. Provide a list of planned accomplishments for current year (CY) and budget year (BY).

For CY12, IAE plans include: - Continuing on its aggressive aggregation strategy with a May 2012 launch of the System for Award Management (SAM) Phase 1 (CCR/FedReg, ORCA, and EPLS). Phase 1 development and user testing will be completed, meetings and outreach will increase to prepare the user community for the new operational environment, training will be conducted, the actual GO Live launch, and operations and support. -Completing development for SAM Phase 2 (FBO, CFDA, eSRS, and FSRS). The Phase 2 requirements are to be completed, the solicitation issued, the development contractor selected, meetings and outreach to prepare the user community conducted, development completed, and user testing initiated. - Initiating requirements for SAM Phase 3 (WDOL and Major Enhancements). Requirements gathering will be initiated, and outreach to the user community will be conducted. - Continued operations and support of the remaining IAE systems supporting the agency acquisition functions. This is to include version releases, data reloads, and extended helpdesk services for SAM Phase 1. For BY13, IAE plans include: -Continuing on its aggressive aggregation strategy with a March 2013 launch of the System for Award Management (SAM) Phase 2 (FBO, CFDA, eSRS, and FSRS). Phase 2 user testing is to be completed, as well as increased meetings and outreach to prepare the the user community for the new operational environment, the actual GO Live launch, and operations and support. - Completing development for SAM Phase 3 (WDOL and Major Enhancements). Phase 3 requirements are to be completed, the solicitation issued, the development contractor selected, meetings and outreach to prepare the user community conducted, development completed, and user testing initiated. - Initiating requirements for SAM Phase 4 (FPDS). Requirements gathering will be initiated and completed. Outreach to the user community will be conducted. - Continued operations and support of the remaining IAE systems supporting the agency acquisition functions. This is to include version releases, data reloads, and extended helpdesk services for SAM Phase 1 and 2.

5. Provide the date of the Charter establishing the required Integrated Program Team (IPT) for this investment. An IPT must always include, but is not limited to: a qualified fully-dedicated IT program manager, a contract specialist, an information technology specialist, a security specialist and a business process owner before OMB will approve this program investment budget. IT Program Manager, Business Process Owner and Contract Specialist must be Government Employees.

2003-01-17

Section C: Summary of Funding (Budget Authority for Capital Assets)

1.

I.				
		Table I.C.1 Summary of Funding		
	PY-1 & Prior	PY 2011	CY 2012	BY 2013
Planning Costs:	\$29.3	\$15.3	\$12.7	\$35.3
DME (Excluding Planning) Costs:	\$1.6	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
DME (Including Planning) Govt. FTEs:	\$5.8	\$0.0	\$0.0	\$0.0
Sub-Total DME (Including Govt. FTE):	\$36.7	\$15.3	\$12.7	\$35.3
O & M Costs:	\$328.6	\$37.5	\$38.5	\$37.0
O & M Govt. FTEs:	\$21.8	\$3.5	\$3.6	\$2.9
Sub-Total O & M Costs (Including Govt. FTE):	\$350.4	\$41.0	\$42.1	\$39.9
Total Cost (Including Govt. FTE):	\$387.1	\$56.3	\$54.8	\$75.2
Total Govt. FTE costs:	\$27.6	\$3.5	\$3.6	\$2.9
# of FTE rep by costs:	121	24	24	18
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (\$)		\$0.0	\$-6.2	
Total change from prior year final President's Budget (%)		0.00%	-10.10%	

2. If the funding levels have changed from the FY 2012 President's Budget request for PY or CY, briefly explain those changes:

Funding levels were cut for CY2012 per the direction of GSA. Originally, \$38M was requested which by policy required a cut of at least 10%. Amended budget levels for CY reflected in Funding Sources table below have changed to \$3M. Agency MAX contributions remain unchanged from the PY and CY request.

Section D: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

	Table I.D.1 Contracts and Acquisition Strategy										
Contract Type	EVM Required	Contracting Agency ID	Procurement Instrument Identifier (PIID)	Indefinite Delivery Vehicle (IDV) Reference ID	IDV Agency ID	Solicitation ID	Ultimate Contract Value (\$M)	Туре	PBSA ?	Effective Date	Actual or Expected End Date
Awarded	4735	GST0007AJM0 81									
Awarded	4705	GS00A09AAC 0080	GS00V08PDD0 072	4705							
Awarded	4705	GS00T07NSD0 040	GS00T07NSD0 040	4705							
Awarded	4705	GS00I10AAC0 046									
Awarded	4705	GSI0010AA00 20	GS06F0358Z	4705							
Awarded	4705	GS00I10AAC0 140									
Awarded	4705	GSI0011AA01 47	GS06F0358Z	4705							
Awarded	4705	GS00I11AAC0 111									
Awarded	4705	GS00IAAC016 <u>8</u>									

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

Row 20 - The Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) contract is sole source, FFP contracts providing D&B services for the Acquisition, Grants and Loans communities. The contracts provides steady state services and contains no DME. Row 14 - The Federal Service Desk (FSD) is providing steady state services with no DME. In general, future contracts and/or options will contain EVM and performance based criteria where applicable. Operational Analysis is conducted monthly on all steady state milestones.

Page 6 / 9 of Section300 Date of Last Revision: 2012-08-13 Exhibit 300 (2011)

Exhibit 300B: Performance Measurement Report

Section A: General Information

Date of Last Change to Activities: 2012-07-13

Section B: Project Execution Data

	Table II.B.1 Projects									
Project ID	Project Name	Project Description	Project Start Date	Project Completion Date	Project Lifecycle Cost (\$M)					
13	FY11 Q4 DME SAM (System for Award Management)	System for Award Management (SAM) Architecture, Infrastructure, Hosting, Development and Testing.								
15	FY12 DME SAM (System for Award Management)	System for Award Management (SAM) Architecture, Infrastructure, Hosting, Development and Testing.								

Activity Summary

Roll-up of Information Provided in Lowest Level Child Activities

			rion up or miorinati		0.0.0			
Project ID	Name	Total Cost of Project Activities (\$M)	End Point Schedule Variance (in days)	End Point Schedule Variance (%)	Cost Variance (\$M)	Cost Variance (%)	Total Planned Cost (\$M)	Count of Activities
13	FY11 Q4 DME SAM (System for Award Management)							
15	FY12 DME SAM (System for Award Management)							

Key Deliverables								
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)
	Key Deliverables							
Project Name	Activity Name	Description	Planned Completion Date	Projected Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Duration (in days)	Schedule Variance (in days)	Schedule Variance (%)

NONE

Section C: Operational Data

			Table	II.C.1 Performance M	etrics			
Metric Description	Unit of Measure	FEA Performance Measurement Category Mapping	Measurement Condition	Baseline	Target for PY	Actual for PY	Target for CY	Reporting Frequency
Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction % by service based on survey	Customers	Customer Results - Customer Benefit	Over target	75.500000	75.000000	75.500000	75.000000	Monthly
Frequency and Depth:Cumulative Hits/Searches per Month averaged for each Month of the CY	Hits/Searches	Technology - Information and Data	Under target	22257591.000000	22500000.000000	22257591.000000	22500000.000000	Monthly
Reduce Compaints: Cumulative Helpdesk calls averaged for each Month of the CY	Calls/Incidents	Process and Activities - Cycle Time and Timeliness	Under target	30339.000000	30000.000000	30339.000000	30000.000000	Monthly
New Customers and Market Penetration: No of Customers averaged for each Month of the CY	Users	Customer Results - Service Coverage	Over target	930886.000000	950000.000000	930886.000000	950000.000000	Monthly
Goods Acquistion: Yearly # of Records	Records	Mission and Business Results - Management of Government Resources	Over target	15134519.000000	15500000.000000	15134519.000000	15500000.000000	Semi-Annual
Availability averaged for each Month of the CY	Hours	Technology - Reliability and Availability	Over target	99.640000	99.00000	99.750000	99.00000	Monthly